

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/601,630	06/24/2003	Yoshiyukie Yamanashi	500.42827X00	1139
20457	7590 06/18/2004		EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9889		3744	

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		W -		
	10/601,630	YAMANASHI ET A	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mohammad M Ali	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted that the part of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI le, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	June 2003.					
)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				<b>)</b> .		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have beer	n received in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	t received.				
*** 1						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/24/03.	5)  Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/601,630

Art Unit: 3744

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsutomu (JP05-2727950 in view of Sato et al. (JP09-079654) and O'Leary et al. (5,699,221). Tsutomu discloses an air-conditioning system comprising indoor and outdoor units, a compressor (inherent), an outdoor transmission/receiving unit/ outdoor signal transmission circuit 7, outdoor signal receiving circuit 8, an outdoor power line A/B, an indoor transmission/receiving unit/ an indoor signal transmission circuit 5, an indoor signal receiving circuit 6, an indoor power line A/B, an outdoor conversion unit/outdoor control circuit 4, an indoor conversion circuit /indoor control circuit 3.

Tsutomu discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. See Fig. 1 and translated abstract. However Tsutomu does not disclose plurality of indoor and out door unit and radio signal. Sato et al. teach the use of plurality of indoor and out door

Art Unit: 3744

units 3A and 3B in an air-conditioning system for the purpose of having a control on a large air-conditioning system. See Fig. 1-2 and the translated abstract; O'Leary et al. teach the use of a radio signal in an air conditioning system for the purpose of having a control on the air conditioning system. O'Leary et al. also disclose a telephone signal. See column 1, lines 62-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the air-conditioning system of Tsutomu in view of Sato et al. and O'Leary et al. such that plurality of indoor and outdoor units and Dario signal could be provided in order to control an air-conditioning system. Regarding claim 2 for operation data and an identification signal for determining whether said operation data is required to received or not: An ordinary skilled in the art can fix an available receiver on the field like the receiver 3 and 4 of Tsutomu as per requirement of the feature. The similar adjustment can be done for the other dependent claims as per requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali, whose telephone number is (703) 308-5032. The examiner can be reached from 6:10am to 4:30pm from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel, can be reached at (703) 308-2597. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7764 for regular communications and after-final communications.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Mohammad M. Ali

June 16, 2004